## CONSULTATION RESPONSE TO PLANNING OR RELATED APPLICATION



| Comments provided by  | Archaeology   | Officer            |                         |                       |  |  |  |
|---|---|--------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
|   | Officer Name: Dr Christopher Contact e-mail/number:                         |                    |                         | ımher:                |  |  |  |
|   | Bowles  | ar ar armittopher  |                         |                       |  |  |  |
| Date of reply   | Bowles archaeology@scotborders.gov.uk  23 April, 2018                       |                    |                         |                       |  |  |  |
|   |   |                    |                         |                       |  |  |  |
| Planning Application  | 15/00036/P  | PP                 | Case Officer: Stua      | rt Herkes             |  |  |  |
| Reference   |   |                    |                         |                       |  |  |  |
| Proposed Development  | Erection of dwellinghouse (renewal of planning permission 15/00036/PPP)     |                    |                         |                       |  |  |  |
| Site Location   | Land North West Of Chapel Cottage Melrose Scottish Borders                  |                    |                         |                       |  |  |  |
| The following observations represent the comments of the consultee on the submitted application as they relate to the area of expertise of that consultee. A decision on the application can only be made after |   |                    |                         |                       |  |  |  |
| consideration of all relevant   | information, co   | onsultations and m | aterial considerations. |                       |  |  |  |
| Background and  | The original application was determined without reference to archaeological |                    |                         |                       |  |  |  |
| Site description  | concerns. As such there are no archaeological comments at this time.        |                    |                         |                       |  |  |  |
|   | and the die no dichaeological comments at this time.                        |                    |                         |                       |  |  |  |
|   |   |                    |                         |                       |  |  |  |
| Key Issues  | •   |                    |                         |                       |  |  |  |
| (Bullet points)   |   |                    |                         |                       |  |  |  |
|   |   |                    |                         |                       |  |  |  |
| Assessment  |   |                    |                         |                       |  |  |  |
|   |   |                    |                         |                       |  |  |  |
|   |   |                    |                         |                       |  |  |  |
|   |   |                    |                         |                       |  |  |  |
|   |   |                    |                         |                       |  |  |  |
|   |   |                    |                         |                       |  |  |  |
|   |   |                    |                         |                       |  |  |  |
|   |   |                    |                         |                       |  |  |  |
|   |   |                    |                         |                       |  |  |  |
|   |   |                    |                         |                       |  |  |  |
|   |   |                    |                         |                       |  |  |  |
|   |   |                    |                         |                       |  |  |  |
|   |   |                    |                         |                       |  |  |  |
|   |   |                    |                         | T                     |  |  |  |
| Recommendation  | ☐ Object  | ☑Do not object     | ☐Do not object,         | ☐ Further information |  |  |  |
|   |   |                    | subject to conditions   | required              |  |  |  |
| Recommended   |   |                    |                         |                       |  |  |  |
| Conditions  |   |                    |                         |                       |  |  |  |
|   |   |                    |                         |                       |  |  |  |
|   |   |                    |                         |                       |  |  |  |
|   |   |                    |                         |                       |  |  |  |
|   |   |                    |                         |                       |  |  |  |
|   |   |                    |                         |                       |  |  |  |
| Recommended   |   |                    |                         |                       |  |  |  |
| Informatives  |   |                    |                         |                       |  |  |  |
|   |   |                    |                         |                       |  |  |  |
|   |   |                    |                         |                       |  |  |  |
|   |   |                    |                         |                       |  |  |  |
|   |   |                    |                         |                       |  |  |  |
|   |   |                    |                         |                       |  |  |  |

## CONSULTATION RESPONSE TO PLANNING OR RELATED APPLICATION



| Comments provided by   | Landscape Ar<br>Officer Name   |  | Contact e-mail/nu                     | Contact e-mail/number:         |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
|  | Siobhan McD  | ermott   | smcdermott@scotl                      | borders.gov.uk                 |  |  |  |
| Date of reply  | 20 <sup>th</sup> June 2018   |  |                                       |                                |  |  |  |
| Planning Application   | 18/00644/PP  | P  | Case Officer:                         |                                |  |  |  |
| Reference  |  |  | Stuart Herkes                         |                                |  |  |  |
| Proposed Development   | Erection of dv   | Erection of dwellinghouse (renewal of 15/00036/PPP)                      |                                       |                                |  |  |  |
| Site Location  | NW of Chapel Cott., Melrose  |  |                                       |                                |  |  |  |
| The following observations relate to the area of expert consideration of all relevan | ise of that consu  | ltee. A decision on  | the application can only l            |                                |  |  |  |
| Background and Site description  | The site is a block of land, part of a larger field immediately to the north and east of the entrance gateway into Linthill. There is a beech hedge along the western  |  |                                       |                                |  |  |  |
|  | boundary bet   | ween the site and t  | he adjacent road and the              | re are mature oak trees        |  |  |  |
|  | at intervals up the verge of the drive - at least one is in close proximity or adjacent to the site. There are also trees located along the west boundary in conjunction with the boundary hedge   |  |                                       |                                |  |  |  |
| Key Issues   | - +  | The mature tree(s) close to or adjacent to the south and west boundaries |                                       |                                |  |  |  |
| (Bullet points)  | - THE II   | inture tree(s) close   | to or adjacent to the sou             | tir and west boundaries        |  |  |  |
| Assessment   | A tree survey in accordance with BS 5837:2012 Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – recommendation, to identify the exact position of the existing trees in relation to the site should be carried out by a competent arboriculturalist to establish the extent of Root Protections Area (RPA) of all trees that could be affected by the proposed development. The full extent of identified RPAs should be protected from excavations being carried out within them, either for the development or and services runs required. If it can be demonstrated that a house can be built on the site, without any negative impact on the existing trees and if details of the entrance and boundary treatments can be agreed to assist the assimilation of the development into the wider area, I would have no further concerns. |  |                                       |                                |  |  |  |
| Recommendation   | ☐ Object   | ☐Do not object   | ☑Do not object, subject to conditions | ☐ Further information required |  |  |  |
| Recommended<br>Conditions  | LA04 Trees – no felling, lopping, lifting or disturbing without the prior consent of the Local Planning Authority.  LA08 - Existing Trees to be Retained - Details to be Submitted  LA09 - Protection of Trees to be Retained  LA07 – Boundary Treatment – details to be submitted to ensure the proper effective assimilation of the development into its wider surroundings, especially along the frontage with the drive.   |  |                                       |                                |  |  |  |

| Recommended<br>Informatives | BS 5837:2012 - Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – recommendations. |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|                             |  |  |  |  |  |
|                             |  |  |  |  |  |
|                             |  |  |  |  |  |

## CONSULTATION RESPONSE TO PLANNING OR RELATED APPLICATION



| Comments provided by  | Roads Planning Service Contact e-mail/number                              |                      |  | e-mail/number                  |  |  |  |
|---|---|----------------------|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Officer Name and Post   | Alan Scott Senior Roads Planning Officer  ascott@scotborders 01835 826640 |                      |  |                                |  |  |  |
| Date of reply   | 14 <sup>th</sup> June 2018  |                      |  |                                |  |  |  |
| Planning Application<br>Reference   | 18/00644/PPP  |                      | Case Officer: Stu                                    | art Herkes                     |  |  |  |
| Proposed Development  | Erection of dwelling  |                      |  |                                |  |  |  |
| Site Location   | Land at Chapel Cottage, Melrose   |                      |  |                                |  |  |  |
| The following observations represent the comments of the consultee on the submitted application as they relate to the area of expertise of that consultee. A decision on the application can only be made after consideration of all relevant information, consultations and material considerations. |   |                      |  |                                |  |  |  |
| Background and Site description   |   |                      |  |                                |  |  |  |
| Key Issues<br>(Bullet points)   |   |                      |  |                                |  |  |  |
| Assessment  |   | oval are attached to | g access and parking that<br>o any subsequent approv | al I shall have no             |  |  |  |
| Recommendation  | ☐ Object  | □Do not object       | ☑Do not object,<br>subject to conditions             | ☐ Further information required |  |  |  |
| Recommended<br>Conditions/Reason for<br>Refusal   |   |                      |  |                                |  |  |  |
| Recommended<br>Informatives   |   |                      |  |                                |  |  |  |

Signed: DJI